EAST LINDSEY DISTRICT COUNCIL EXECUTIVE DECISION NOTICE

- 1. Decision to be taken: Following an application form from West Ashby Parish Council, regarding The West Ashby Arms West Ashby Horncastle to be registered as an asset of community value under the Localism Act 2011 the decision by East Lindsey District Council is for the property not to be listed on the register of assets of community value.
- 2. This is a:

PORTFOLIO HOLDER SUPPORTED OFFICER DECISION

Note: This form should not be used for Key Decisions

3. The following is the decision making body or person:

OFFICER Duncan Hollingworth after consultation with Councillor Fry

- 4. Financial implications from this decision have been communicated to the Portfolio Holder for Finance and the Leader? None
- 5. The decision was taken on: 8 April 2022

Note: - the above date to be completed by Democratic Services upon publication

- 6. Contact Officer and details: Duncan Hollingworth (Buildings and Property) Tel 01507 601111 e-mail <u>Duncan.Hollingworth@e-lindsey.gov.uk</u>
- 7. List of documents submitted for consideration in relation to the matter in respect of which the decision is to be made :

Application form from West Ashby Parish Council

8. Where the documents are held and where they can be obtained from (except exempt items) when they become available:

Property Services Department, East Lindsey District Council, Tedder Hall, Manby Park, Louth, Lincs. LN11 8UP

9. The reason for the decision and other alternative options considered and rejected:

The first test under Section 88 (1) of the act is.

(a) There must be an actual current use of the building or other land that is not ancillary use and which furthers the social well-being or social interests of the local community. (b) It must be realistic to think that there can continue to be a nonancillary use of the building or land which will further the social well-being or social interests of the local community.

The application was very brief and did not supply enough supporting evidence to ascertain if Section 88 (1) could be met.

The second test under Section 88 (2) of the act is

- (a) There is a time in the recent past when an actual use of the building or other land that is not ancillary use and which furthers the social well-being or social interests of the local community.
- (b) It is realistic to think that there is a time in the next five years when there could be non-ancillary use of the building or other land that would further (whether or not in the same way as before) the social well-being or social interests of the community.

The application form was very brief and did not supply any supporting evidence or supporting documentation to make a decision

Therefore, having taken all representations into account, it is recommended that The West Ashby Arms, West Ashby is NOT listed as an Asset of Community Value.

- 10. Declaration of any conflicts of interest of the decision making body or the individual: None
- 11. Provide a note of any subsequent dispensations granted by the Head of Paid service: None

12. Financial Implications of this Decision:-

Estimated cost: - None

Funded from:- N/A

N.B. Please enter names below, signatures will be retained as a hard copy and will not be published therefore should be provided on page 3 of this form:

13. This decision has been signed off by:

Head of Paid Service/S151 Officer or person presiding (insert name – <u>signatures should be provided overleaf on page 3)</u>

Lead Officer Duncan Hollingworth

Portfolio Holder Cllr Richard Fry